

Verifiable Firmware Update Mechanisms for Embedded Systems

Ning Weng, SIUC Spyros Tragoudas, Joseph Lenox, Veeresh Dandur



Project Overview and Description

Project Description

- Verify/Validate software running on remote untrusted ES
- Empower ES to determine whether software updates are authorized

Project Overview and Description

Current mechanisms

- Public key infrastructure
- Secure hardware extension

Challenges

- Embedded systems constraints: resource, connectivity
- Operational issues: multiple independent root authorities, certification revocation,

Practical integrity solution for constrained ES w/existing mechanisms

Approach

- Authority-based
 - Software signature
 - Local verification
 - Whitelist
 - Public Key Infrastructure
 - ex: X.509
 - Challenge
 - Network connectivity

Approach

- Host-assisted
 - -Rule/Anomaly-based
 - Rules are preloaded
 - Challenge
 - Securing host monitoring
 - Existing Hardware extension solution
 - –Secure hardware extension (SHE) on Freescale MPC564xs

Project Tasks/ Deliverables

	Description	Date	Status
1	Review adversary attack methods and verification mechanism specification	10/13	
2	Authority-based solution	1/14	
3	Host-based solution, acquire candidate systems for platform evaluation	7/14	
4	Prepare final report	8/14	

Authority-based

- Store whitelist on remote authority
- ES has the ability to verify the signature of incoming changes and compare
- ES has access to an asymmetric key infrastructure & write-protected storage



Protocol of Authority-based

- ES sends challenge to ST.
- ST forwards challenge to remote authority, along with a hash of firmware.
- Remote authority compares provided hash with a whitelist and prepares a response of the nonce & approved hash encrypt with private key & sends to ST.
- ST forwards response to ES.
- ES decrypts response and verifies.

- System/infrastructure requirements of Authority-based
 - Sufficient RNG on ES
 - Write-protected memory on ES
 - CPU capability to generate hash on ES

- Variation of authority-based solution
 - ES share symmetric key with remote authority
 - ES has public key of remote authority
 - ST share symmetric key with remote authority



- Protocol: Variation of authority-based
 - ST has to prove its identity to remote authority
 - Remote authority encrypts "Firmware" with private key and "Signs" it. Passed to ST.
 - ES gets the firmware from ST, decrypts the contents, verifies signature.
 - If signature is verified, the firmware is updated.

Host-monitoring

- Rule/Anomaly based approach
 - Rules are preloaded before deployment and are securely stored.
 - The chance of rules change is very small.
 - This approach will have special hardware requirement to ES.

- Current Support Mechanisms
 - Identity X.509 Certificates
 - –Key Storage and Crypto Secure Hardware extension (SHE)

- X.509 Certificates
 - Tool for PKI, binds public keys for a host/device to an established name
 - Root authority
 - Security industry standard
 - Several terms ill-defined
 - Designed for computer networking applications
 - Allows for revocation/expiration

X.509 Limitations

- Does not match ES requirements well
 - Multiple Independent Root Authorities
 - Certificate revocation / expiration
 - Low connectivity in ES applications
 - » Difficult to ascertain current status
 - -Possible time resets due to malfunction
 - Certificate Attributes
 - Limited to particular applications and hierarchy

Multiple Independent Root Authorities

- Certificate authorities (CA) are independent and accessible to users
- In real time, ES don't have access to
 CA, so there is no update of credentials
- Multiple independent root authorities was proposed as solution.

Secure Hardware Extension

- Specification for hardware cryptography tools and support structure
 - Enable Secure zone with hardware
 - Protect cryptographic keys from software attacks
 - Support authentic software environment
- Cryptographic Service Engine

References

- Ang Cui, Michael Costello, Salvatore J. Stolfo; "When Firmware Modifications Attack: A Case Study of Embedded Exploitation;" NDSS 2013; 2013
- 2. Basile, C.; Carlo, S.D.; Scionti, A., "FPGA-Based Remote-Code Integrity Verification of Programs in Distributed Embedded Systems," Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C: Applications and Reviews, IEEE Transactions on , vol.42, no.2, pp.187--200, March 2012
- SHE: Secure Hardware Extension, https://www.escrypt.com/fileadmin/escrypt/pdf/WEB_Secure_Hardware_Extension_Wiewe siek.pdf. . [Accessed 12 April 2013].
- 4. Mao, S.; Wolf, T., "Hardware Support for Secure Processing in Embedded System," IEEE Trans. Computers 59(6): 847-854 (2010)
- Freescale, "MPC564xS: Qorivva MCU for instruments cluster," 2013. [Online]. Available: http://www.freescale.com/webapp/sps/site/prod_summary.jsp?code=MPC564xS. [Accessed 2 April 2013].
- 6. S. Ravi, A. Raghunathan, P. Kocher, and S. Hattangady. Security in embedded systems: Design challenges. ACM Trans. Embed. Comput. Syst., 3(3):461–491, 2004.
- 7. OSSEC: Open source host-based intrsion detection system, http://www.ossec.net/ [Accessed 9 April 2013].
- 8. ZyTrax, Inc. (2013, Februrary) Survival guides ssl/tls and x.509 (ssl) certificates. www. ZyTrax, Inc. [Online]. Available: http://www.zytrax.com/tech/survival/ssl.html
- 9. S. L. Geoff Emerson, Jurgen Frank. (2011, June) Using the Cryptographic Service Engine (CSE): An introduction to the CSE module. www. Freescale Semiconductor. [Online]. Available: http://cache.freescale.com/files/32bit/doc/app note/AN4234.pdf